



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 57 No. 08

5th March 2021

IN THIS ISSUE

The Party System and Security: Institutional and Personal By Arnis Luks	1
Internationalism	2
MMT Or The National Dividend	3
The Independence of Some Independents	4

Thought For The Week: The matters on which I propose to speak to you tonight are so simple that, were it not for one fact of human experience, I should hesitate to trouble you with them. The fact is that it is the simplest matters that always form the subject of the most profound misunderstanding, and in regard to which the average individual is the most difficult to convince of any error in his belief.

You will remember that it was a matter of common certainty for many thousands of years that the sun revolved round the earth, and when the astronomer Galileo produced quite unshakable evidence to show that, on the contrary, the earth revolved round the sun, he was regarded as a blasphemous heretic and was severely punished.

Now the first of these very simple matters which I propose to bring to your attention is the difference between *policy* and *administration*, together with the primary importance of *policy*. If a man is standing on the platform of Newcastle Central (Railway) Station it is obviously of primary importance whether he decides to go to Edinburgh or Darlington. The question as to whether he goes by a fast or a slow train, whether he finds that the railway is well or badly operated, or whether he decides finally to go by motor-car is of secondary importance to the question of his making up his mind where he wants to go.

A Policy of Work

In all the discussions which are allowed to obtain wide publicity on the affairs of the world at the present day, every effort is made to concentrate attention upon questions of *administration*, on how to make the railway in my allegory better, or how to improve the road or the motor-car. The point I want to impress upon you at the outset is that we are having a policy imposed upon us, and that policy is the cause of our troubles.

Any discussion as to how that policy shall be administered, whether by a dictatorship, so-called democracy, Fascism, Bolshevism, Nazi-ism, or otherwise, is merely irrelevant.

This policy, which is practically identical everywhere, whether in Russia, Italy or Germany, is the gospel of work. "If a man will not work neither shall he eat." It is not for nothing that Paul, the Roman Jew, is the patron saint of the City of London. I must emphasise the point that the policy is not "If a man does not work there will be nothing to eat." To the extent that such a statement is true, the other statement is reasonable. But to say that all men have to work in industry at trade union rates for trade union hours before it is possible for all men to eat, is flagrantly untrue, and becomes less true everyday, except as a policy. - "**Security: Institutional and Personal**"

An Address delivered in the City Hall, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, on 9th March, 1937 by C. H. Douglas.

THE PARTY SYSTEM and SECURITY: INSTITUTIONAL AND PERSONAL**By Arnis Luks**

This week's reading list went back 110 years to a little-known work "The Party System" by Hillaire Belloc and Cecil Chesterton. The significant disruptions to Minister's tenure and the Executive being held to account to the Parliament ceased to occur from around 1865 onwards. Belloc and Chesterton are convinced, the formation of the political party system is to blame for the demise of the Parliament as a representative institution since then. They allege that the ministry and shadow ministry (both front benches) work together as one oligarchy. They allege that the executive branches of all the major parties control the membership and not the other way around. They also allege that finance and industry, along with the full and unfettered support of the mainstream media, control both front benches to achieve 'their' purposes. It can't be stated any more plainly than that.

"The Party System" by Hillaire Belloc and Cecil Chesterton is available in our online PDF library for download: https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Belloc_Chesterton-The_Party_System.pdf

I managed to read the entire book in one day, since the report was proving consistent with similar reports of 'the party system' in historical *On Targets*, and, as I later found, also with CH Douglas' writing.

Unlocking The Internet

The suppression of important information has historically been done by either silencing censorship and / or book burning. Works of importance are never placed in prominent positions, nor brought to the public's attention through a myriad of other ways. Advertising appears to have its opposite within itself : Un-advertising. The modern age has provided us with the Internet to break down this barrier of silence. Rare to find, let alone buy (to own), books and research papers are flooding the Internet in PDF format of real historical significance. What was hidden is now available and on full display. Google, Facebook and Twitter may be doing their darnedest to shut the gate, but this horse has well and truly bolted.

In my Internet research for information about "*The Great Harlot by Peter Lock*" I came across a 2002 submission by the former 1972-1975 Whitlam Health Minister the Honourable Douglas Everingham on the proposed United States / Australia FTA (Free Trade Agreement). Douglas Everingham's submission could have been read out (word for word) again with the more recent TPP Agreement. The 1986 commitment by Australian Labor Party to the Socialist International has aligned both sides of the political spectrum towards the same objective - world government.

Internationalism

The establishment of the UN and the IMF was carefully manoeuvred into existence by Harry Dexter White (the Soviet spy) and John Maynard Keynes (Lord under the careful guidance of the Roosevelt (USA) administration. In 1945, representatives of 50 countries met in San Francisco at the United Nations Conference on International Organization to draw up the United Nations Charter. Those delegates deliberated on the basis of proposals worked out by the representatives of China, the Soviet Union, the United Kingdom and the United States at Dumbarton Oaks, USA in August-October 1944.

Whether it is by both the UN and the TPP, or it is by a socialist world dictatorship of the proletariat, the result is the same - oligarchical dictatorship of the world.

Australia Post CEO Christine Holgate

<https://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/leadership/christine-holgate-beefed-up-australia-post-profit-and-the-veges-are-stewing/news-story/75d3bdffd8838d346a285eee7011ad68>

The sacking of Australia Post CEO Christine Holgate is just another internationalist nail in Australia's economic coffin. Australia Post is /was a profitable publicly owned utility that now needs to be sacrificed to internationalism by a conservative government. Her initiative and efforts turned Australia Post around. Similarly the State Bank of SA, and the State Savings Bank of Victoria the same, were bankrupted and the Commonwealth Bank of Australia was sold off under labor governments. They work together at critical times to achieve the same purpose / policy outcomes.

LIMA DECLARATION AND DESTRUCTION OF AUSTRALIAN INDUSTRY *On Target 10 April 1992*

From all parts of Australia come reports of Australian industries being swamped by a flood of imports. The Bendigo daily, *The Advertiser*, reports that local tomato growers are ploughing in their crops while Australian stores carry tomato products from overseas. Australian pig producers are concerned about pork imports, as are potato growers. The threat of losing the Wills by-election forced Prime Minister Paul Keating to attempt to do a little dialectical two stepping on the burning issue of a tariff policy which has already destroyed industries in Wills and threatens to destroy even more. Keating conveniently forgot that he has condemned the protection of Australian industries for years, claiming that protection was a type of "poison". Now he is suggesting that perhaps, after all, a little "poison" is a good thing.

As we have pointed out, the anti-protection programme is a central feature of the overall strategy to "internationalise" the Australian economy. That strategy has its roots in a number of international agreements and declarations, one of the original declarations being the Lima Declaration of 1975. Mr. Rick Farley, of the National Farmers' Federation, who remains silent while cheap imports destroy Australian primary producers, along with others have consistently sought to argue that the **Lima Declaration** has no relevance to what is taking place today. But in a letter dated January 28th, 1992, a letter from the New Zealand External Relations and Trade Department confirms the reality of an ongoing programme of internationalisation.

While endorsing what we have stated, that "Declarations of this kind have no formal binding legal status": but never the less are 'indications of goals and principles". The letter continues, "the Government of the day deliberately chose to support the Lima Declaration because it was aimed at improving the capacity of the Third World nations to develop their industrial sector Developed countries like New Zealand were, and indeed still are, prepared to support that philosophy because it judged that in the long term our national and humanitarian interests are best served by allowing the developing world to participate on equal terms in seeking economic security and prosperity.

A number of decisions taken by successive New Zealand Governments have indirectly supported the spirit and detail of the Lima Declaration. The process of economic reform begun in 1984 has, for example, advanced some of the objectives of the Lima Declaration by reducing tariff barriers and other impediments, which previously denied developing (and developed) countries markets in New Zealand". The New Zealand External Relations and Trade

Department letter also states "New Zealand Governments have also taken specific action to support the principles embodied in the Lima Declaration".

As documented in our OT issue of March 20th 1992, successive Australian Governments, including the Fraser Governments, have done exactly the same thing. Both in New Zealand and Australia, long established local industries have been already destroyed by the ongoing programme of internationalism. There is no argument that Asians working for coolie wages can, in financial terms, provide Australians with cheaper products than Australians can produce. Australians are, in essence, being offered a mess of economic pottage at the price of surrendering their economic and national independence. The spirit of the Lima Declaration is one of treachery, which under-girds the concept of a One World State. ...**end of 1992 On Target article**

The Australian Union Movement (ACTU) has also been silent about the 1975 Lima Declaration: that Australian industries are to be, or have been, shut down and relocated to developing nations. All our historical industry has now been relocated offshore, causing NOT a ripple within the corridors of the ACTU.

The greatest handicap the Australian farmers have is the federal government policies of restricted water and land use, and expensive fuel, transport and power - Agenda 2030. Our dry-land farmers are the most resourceful in the world but are deliberately handicapped by supposed representatives of their interests. You only have to take a minute to glance through the historical FTA or current TPP agreement, all 24-6 thousand million pages of areas for litigation that assure that the farmer does not stand a chance in the courts against Big-Ag.

Every handicap is put in their way deliberately so third world labour is used instead of Australian. The policy is always international, when the profits go offshore and are untaxed here (thank Bob Menzies for that one).

The law is altered to allow personal advantage to big party donors. Belloc and Chesterton make note of the never ending supply of slush funds to do the bidding of big-money first and foremost, always 'before' the people. **Full Employment As Policy In An Automated World**

All governments, communist and western, hold as a central pillar the policy of full employment in this age of automation. Once we are fed, what more is there to do that is so pressing we are still in need of full employment? It is power over people that causes this policy to continue, even to the point of war. This policy will see people digging holes and then filling them up again just to receive the tickets to what is rightfully their own inheritance from this industrial age. **MMT Or The National Dividend**

We are witness to a release of massive amounts of new credit into the community, while industry is

shutdown - COVID-19 Lockstep / Lockdown.

This new credit could just as readily be issued as a dividend instead of piling debt upon posterity. Taxation of any form, is confiscation of spending power, a negative-dividend; instead of a debt-free payment to provide access to the output of this massive industrial machine from this age of energy, automation / robotics.

Have you noticed that some industries are refusing to pay back jobseeker / jobkeeper payments even though their EofFY summary accounts are showing significant additional profits? Who benefited the most from these payments, the individuals laid waste or the corporations? Our "conservative" government doesn't miss a trick.

Political Parties

A vote for a political party, any political party, is a vote assisting in bringing about Australia's economic and political ruin. **Political parties have since 1865, been set up to circumvent and emasculate the 'will of the people'**. The appearance of dissent across the parties is simply the façade. On all critical matters they align.

Both Belloc and Chesterton present examples after examples (at critical times) of their alignment. Major political parties act in concert to protect their vested interest for ongoing and unfettered access to the trough, so they act in unison by placing the new threat (PHON party or candidate) last on the ballot paper. The PHON party just happens to be the current threat to their monopoly access and control of the trough. Are there any exceptions to this rule of only one policy direction?

PHON

I don't generally endorse Pauline Hanson One Nation PHON policies, as I see the policies time and time again deliberately missing the most important marks.

Policy Direction - Objectives, not Methods

PHON members and candidates, and any others interested in effective representation, would do well to concentrate on the 'results people want', not entering into never ending technical discussions of how to achieve those results. For instance the **Bradford Scheme** is a technical matter to increase the total flow of water through the Murray-Darling Basin. Whereas the correct target should be to **'providing a sustainable, affordable and reliable supply of water'** as the policy satisfying people's wants - including environmental flows.

If the bureaucrats responsible to the MDBA can't achieve that target, then insist they be replaced with people who will achieve that policy outcome. We do not want further administrators of a 'deliberately designed to fail policy' - thanks very much Malcolm.

Similarly, by getting caught up in all different financial schemes, they would do much better to set objectives for results required: "to provide a stable, affordable and reliable economic and financial environment for Australian industry, families and individuals to enjoy the material abundance of what we can produce. To flourish and prosper without incurring debt".

If the RBA board members cannot achieve that, then replace them with people who will get the results desired.

Placeholder Politician

Belloc and Chesterton refer to the 'placeholder' politician within all political party movements. I see them as 'cardboard cutouts' or 'career politicians' always silent at critical times. I have yet to see a party politician really cross the floor for the benefit of the people. Former Mayor of North Sydney Ted Mack was that exception.

The Independence of Some Independents

My first-hand experience observing the late Bob Such, Independent in South Australia, showed a person always ready to discuss anything across the counter. He did not need a handler to protect him from difficult questions. Rather he listened first, and then acted accordingly.

More letters on behalf of his constituents, were written by he and his staff than any other representative, to ministers and government departments in his attempt to best represent the people of his electorate.

Similar to Belloc and Chesterton, Bob Such was so appalled by the machinations of the (conservative) party system in South Australia that he resigned in disgust to serve as an independent. By serving the people first and foremost he was assured of re-election until his final physical demise. Well done Bob Such.

Security or Freedom

I recently went to that politically biased resource Wikipedia to look up the history of the radio station 3XY. From that research I deduced that there were many supposedly conflicting arrows in that particular quiver. This explained why there was no change in policy no matter the vote outcome. I felt nauseous considering the incestuous relationship of anti- and Christian sources presented as something entirely different to the public.
<https://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/margin-call/the-goode-the-bad-and-the-ugly/news-story/1662bfb76d5185271fc18999b08974b>

The Organised Church

I don't believe the organised church, let alone any Christian Movement or individual, has any hope of redemption while in such an adulterous relationship of tax aversion with its charitable status. No matter what good they do, they are fully compromised **'to speak resolutely towards power'**, with the ever present threat to that (money, money, money) tax-free status. They are hiding under a rock instead of being on a lamp-stand for all to see, while missing the greater calling to serve. The Australian people have also fallen into the same trap with payments to hold their immoral silence over COVID. All appear to want security at the expense of freedom. Their faith (f) is in mammon instead of FAITH in the Father, Son and Holy Spirit. Its a big step to take.

Awakening From Slumber

It was pleasing to finally see some awakening from a long slumber. A news report across the weekend highlighted the low level of uptake of the mRNA injection. This News is a promising indication of some

restoration to spiritual health within our society, of not putting all trust in government, but rather maintaining a healthy cynicism towards vested interests, along with trust in self to manage health choices.

Those who have been objecting to mandatory injection of the GMO product have now committed to attending weekly protests until they achieve their purpose / policy of 'freedom of choice'. The narrative that has been blaring 24 hours a day for the last 14 months on screen, sound, print and roadside billboard appears to be falling on 'deaf ears' - losing its appeal. From so many 'normally-reliable' sources also comes encouragement to get the jab! As if 'fear of death' and the economy were the only things of import to the people, when less than 5% of the working population can feed us all with plenty. The monsters of the forest are not there, and the witch-doctors of fear need to be sent packing.

Target for This Week

We have seen several cases of party MP's departing their party to sit as Independent MP's or in some cases, Members have resigned from the Cabinet or Shadow Cabinet to sit on the backbench. There is little doubt that a major cause is Party pressure on MP's to adhere to the Party line and disregard what they know are pressing issues in their electorate, thus fail to represent the people. Two examples of how these MP's behave once liberated from the Party System can be seen in former Independent Senator Cory Bernardi and Independent MP Craig Kelly. Cory Bernardi finally makes some points on SkyNews which he never dared say whilst in Parliament for years.

Craig Kelly knows he is right in insisting on proven treatments for Covid 19 be included in TGA approved treatments. This is a distraction of no consequence. Neither of them have made the most important point: that it is not the business of government to get involved in person health measures, but to provide the environment for the individual to make their own choices, including none at all. Contact your local MP, that they always reflect the community's will within the Parliament. Politicians need to be on a short leash with the electorate.

The Party System is the Barrier between The Parliament and The Will of The People

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

Donations can be performed by direct bank transfer:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

or cheques to: 'Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)'

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8387 6574 **eMail:** heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

<https://alor.org/> our main website and repository of the Douglas Social Credit and Freedom Movement 'Archives'.

**On Target is printed and authorised by K. W. Grundy
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.**